

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,825	07/16/2003	Kevin J. Youngers	100200308-1	1251	
75	90 07/01/2004	EXAMINER			
	ACKARD COMPANY	NINO, ADOLFO			
P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		2831	-	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

		2du					
	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/620,825	YOUNGERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Adolfo Nino	2831					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period f r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allows	, —						
Disp sition of Claims		4					
4)  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/03.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

## Information Disclosure Stat m nt

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/16/03 is being considered by the examiner.

## Claim Objections

Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 20, line 3, Examiner believes that "nonlinearly forming" should be --stiffening---. Note that this is how the Examiner interpreted the claim; hence, in claim
21, line 1, ""the means for stiffening" makes sense and no 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection (lack of
antecedent basis) was made for claim 21.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-15, 17-21 and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lyon (US 2,959,634).

Regarding claim 1, Lyon discloses a flexibly-stiffened electrical cable (12), comprising: a flexible cable (12) adapted to transmit electrical signals along a longitudinal direction, the cable (12) nonlinearly formed in a lateral direction (fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2831

**Note** that it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re. Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138*.

Regarding claim 2, Lyon discloses the electrical cable (12) of Claim 1, wherein the cable (12) comprises a ribbon cable (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 3, Lyon discloses the electrical cable (12) of Claim 1, further comprising at least one nonlinear stiffening support member (14) laterally secured to the cable (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 4, Lyon discloses the electrical cable (12) of Claim 1, further comprising a nonlinear stiffening support member (20a, 20b) coupled to each side of the cable (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 5, Lyon discloses the electrical cable (12) of Claim 1, wherein the cable (12) is disposed in at least one of a scanner, a copy machine, and a printer (col. 1, lines 35-42).

Regarding claim 7, Lyon discloses the electrical cable (12) of Claim 1, further comprising a nonlinear stiffening support member (14) adhesively secured to the cable in the lateral direction (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 8, Lyon discloses the electrical cable (12) of Claim 1, wherein the cable (12) is molded having a nonlinear configuration in the lateral direction (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 9, Lyon discloses the electrical cable (12) of Claim 1, further comprising a nonlinear stiffening support member (14) coupled to the cable in the lateral direction, the stiffening support member (14) flexible in the longitudinal direction (fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claim 10, Lyon discloses the electrical cable (12) of Claim 1, further comprising a nonlinear stiffening support member (20a, 20b) coupled to opposite ends of the cable (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 11, Lyon discloses an imaging device (col. 1, lines 32-41), comprising: an image capturing device movable along a longitudinal direction (col. 1, lines 32-41); and a flexible cable (12) communicatively coupled to the image capturing device, the cable nonlinearly formed in a lateral direction (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 12, Lyon discloses the device of Claim 11, wherein the cable (12) comprises a ribbon cable (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 13, Lyon discloses the device of Claim 11, wherein the cable (12) comprises at least one nonlinear stiffening support member (14, 20a, 20b) laterally secured thereto.

Regarding claim 14, Lyon discloses the device of Claim 11, wherein the cable (12) comprises a plurality of oppositely disposed nonlinear stiffening support members (20a, 20b).

Regarding claim 15, Lyon discloses the device of Claim 11, wherein the imaging system comprises at least one of a scanner, a copy machine, and a printer (col. 1, lines 32-41).

Regarding claim 17, Lyon discloses the device of Claim 11, wherein the cable (12) comprises a nonlinear stiffening support member (14) adhesively secured thereto in the lateral direction (fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claim 18, Lyon discloses the device of Claim 11, wherein the cable (12) is molded having a nonlinear configuration in the lateral direction (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 19, Lyon discloses the device of Claim 11, wherein the cable (12) comprises a nonlinear stiffening support member (14) laterally coupled thereto (fig. 2), the stiffening support member (14) flexible in the longitudinal direction (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 20, as best understood by the Examiner, Lyon discloses a linearly stiffened electrical cable (12), comprising: flexible means (12) for transmitting electrical signals along a longitudinal direction (col. 1, lines 32-41); and means for stiffening [nonlinearly forming] (14) the flexible means for transmitting the electrical signals in a lateral direction (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 21, Lyon discloses the cable (12) of Claim 20, wherein the means for stiffening (14) comprises at least one nonlinear stiffening support means (14) laterally secured to the flexible means for transmitting the electrical signals (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 23, Lyon discloses the cable (12) of Claim 20, wherein the means for stiffening (14) comprises a semirigid outer jacket (14) disposed about the flexible means for transmitting the electrical signals (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 24, Lyon discloses the cable (12) of Claim 20, wherein the means for stiffening (14) comprises a nonlinear stiffening support means (14) adhesively secured in the lateral direction to the flexible means for transmitting the electrical signals (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 25, Lyon discloses an imaging device (col. 1, lines 32-41), comprising an image capturing device; a flexible electrical conduit (12) coupled to the

Art Unit: 2831

image capturing device, the conduit (12) adapted to accommodate movement of the image capturing device in a longitudinal direction; and a support member (14) disposed relative to the conduit (12) to prevent buckling of the conduit during the longitudinal movement of the image capturing device (fig. 2). **Note** that it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re. Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138*.

Regarding claim 26, Lyon discloses the imaging device of Claim 25, wherein the support member (14) comprises a nonlinearly formed support member (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 27, Lyon discloses the imaging device of Claim 25, wherein the support member (14) comprises a nonlinear configuration laterally disposed relative to the electrical conduit (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 28, Lyon discloses the imaging device of Claim 25, wherein the support member (14) is disposed corresponding to an internal bend radius of the electrical conduit (col. 2, lines 7-15).

Regarding claim 29, Lyon discloses the imaging device of Claim 25, wherein the support member (14) is disposed relative to the electrical conduit (12) to provide bend relief between the support member and the electrical conduit (col. 2, lines 26-47).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 7

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6, 16 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyon (US 2,959,634). Lyon discloses the apparatus of claims 1, 11 and 20, respectively, **except for** further comprising a plurality of intermittently disposed nonlinear stiffening support members laterally coupled to the apparatus. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the integral nonlinear stiffening support member laterally coupled to the apparatus into a plurality of intermittently disposed nonlinear stiffening support members laterally coupled to the apparatus, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2831

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hansen (US 4,092,997) discloses a constraint means for flexible elements with the flexible elements nonlinearly formed in a lateral direction. McKenney et al. (US 6,689,958 B1) disclose a flat ribbon cable. Grant et al. (US 6,501,020 B2) disclose a cable support assembly. Hayakawa et al. (US 5,446,240) disclose a flexible print cable. Otsuka et al. (US 5,096,316) disclose a serial printer. Uemori (US 4,897,442) discloses a wiring device. Suzuki (US 4,898,351) discloses a mechanism for supporting a long flexible element. Soulard (US 4,746,766) discloses a shielded flexing connector. Colglazier (US 3,576,941) discloses a flat cable. Dahlgren et al. (US 3,300,572) disclose an extensible flexible cable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adolfo Nino whose telephone number is (571) 272-1981. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AN

Chaul Guyen
CHAUN. NGUYEN
CHAUN. NGUYEN
CHAUNER

# Application/Control No. 10/620,825 Application/Control No. 10/620,825 Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination YOUNGERS ET AL. Examiner Adolfo Nino 2831 Page 1 of 1

## **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-2,959,634	11-1960	LYON JAMES L	174/117R
	В	US-4,092,997	06-1978	Hansen, Howard C.	137/351
	С	US-6,689,958	02-2004	McKenney et al.	174/113R
	D	US-6,501,020	12-2002	Grant et al.	174/50
	E	US-5,446,240	08-1995	Hayakawa et al.	174/69
	F	US-5,096,316	03-1992	Otsuka et al.	400/692
	G	US-4,987,442	01-1991	Uemori, Satoshi	355/50
	Н	US-4,898,351	02-1990	Suzuki, Norio	248/51
	ı	US-4,746,766	05-1988	Soulard, Roger R.	174/36
	J	US-3,576,941	05-1971	Colglazier, Donald F.	174/117FF
	Κ	US-3,300,572	01-1967	DAHLGREN VICTOR F; et. al.	174/69
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name .	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	α					
	R		,			
	S					
	Т					

## **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

# PATENT APPLICATION

Sheet 1 of 1

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ATTY, DOCKET NO.		APPLICATION NO.	CONFIRMA	ATION NO
FORM PTO-1449				100200308-1					
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  (Use several sheets if necessary)					APPLICANT  Kevin J. Young rs, et al.  FILING DATE I GROUP				
					REFERE	NCE	DESIGNATION	U.S. PA	ATEN
EXAMINEI INITIAL			PUBLICATION DATE		NAME		Pages, Columns, Lines Where Relevant Passages or Figures Appear		
AN	1A	6,434,306 Aug. 13, 2002		lsh	Ishikawa et al.		385/114		
	1B						•		
	1C								
	1D								<del></del>
	1E								
	1F			1					
	1G								
	1H	2							
	11		<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\neg$			
	1J								<del></del>
	1K			1				<del></del>	<del></del>
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	PUBLICATION DATE		PATENT DOCUME  AME OF PATENTEE  OR APPLICANT		Pages/Columns/Lines		Check if Translation
	1L	NOMBER	DATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OR AFFEIGART	1.0	evant i essagest igu	res Appear	attached
	1 M		<u> </u>			-			<del> </del>
	1 N					+			+
	10					_			<del></del> -
	1P		<del>                                     </del>			┿	-		<del>                                      </del>
	[17					ł			
		OTHER REF	ERENCES (includin	g Au	thor, Title, Date, Po	ertine	ent Pages, etc.)		
	10							<del> </del>	
	+-								<del></del>
	1R	·							
	<u> </u>		·						
	18	İ							
EXAMI	VER	1 1	_ (\		DATE CONSIDER	FD.	. , 4		
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	with frie		22 00/43/08/4		6/17/04		
D 05/02 /m							<u> </u>		

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

